ban Wage Employment are being implemented in the State.

PMI UPEP — has self employment as one of the many components which is being implemented in the State of Andhra Pradesh.

(d) and (e) NRY — Periodical progress reports are being received from State Government of Andhra Pradesh. As per these reports, during the 1996-97 subsidy amounting to Rs. 527.77 lakhs was sanctioned under SUME and 18,315 beneficiaries were assisted under the scheme. Under SUWE, the State Government reported an expenditure of Rs. 255.31 lakhs for the year 1996-97 against which 1.59 lakhs mandays of work are reported to have been generated. Similarly during the year 1997-98 upto 30.6.97 under SUME, subsidy amounting Rs. 107.95 lakhs has been sanctioned and 1204 beneficiaries assisted under the Scheme. For the same period, the State has reported an expenditure of Rs. 68.81 lakhs under SUWE.

PMIUPEP — The State Government has reported that:

(i) State share of Rs. 920.66 lakhs has been released by the State Government for the year 1995-96 under the Programme.

(ii) 982 number of Thrift & Credit Societies has been formed under PMIUPEP.

(iii) 28 number of Community Kendras have been formed.

(iv) 7012 number of applications forwarded to Bank under self employment component.

(v) 3874 number of applications forwarded to Banks/HUDCO under shelter upgradation component.

(vi) 138 neighbourhold Development Committees has been formed.

(vii) Rs. 1088.94 lakhs has been spent till 31.10.96.

Improper Implementation of Urban Employment Schemes

1845. SHRI V. HANUMANTHA RAO: Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that urban employment schemes are not being properly implemented;

(b) whether there is any scope for political basis and favouritism in selecting beneficiaries for such schemes;

(c) how Government ensure that such schemes are not used primarily for political patronage; and

(d) the steps proposed in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) No, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) and (d) The Government ensures proper implementation of the schemes by specifying standard guidelines to be followed by all State Governments and Urban Local Bodies for the Urban Employment Schemes. Adherence of States/ULBs to these guidelines is monitored regularly by the Department.

Shifting of Slum Population Outside Delhi

1846. SHRI SANJAY DALMIA: Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to shift some of Delhi's slum population to different locations in the National Capital Region;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the total number of persons presently in slums in Delhi; and

(d) by when slum population is likely to be shifted outside Delhi?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) and (d) The National Capital Region Planning Board has reported that no specific proposal in this regard has been received from the Government of NCT of Delhi.

(c) According to Government of NCT of Delhi, a survey was conducted in March, 1994 which revealed that the estimated number of Jhuggi Clusters was around 1080, comprising 4.80 lakhs jhuggies and having a population of more than 20 lakhs.

Amount Provided by HUDCO to the States

1847. SHRI NILOTPAL BASU: Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount provided by the Housing and Urban Development Corporation (HUDCO) to States during the last five years, State-wise; and

(b) the criteria adopted to give assistance to States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) and (b) HUDCO makes annual State-wise allocation of loans for housing projects on the basis of area and population of the concerned States. Since 1995-96, HUDCO has started making 50% of its loan allocation on the above basis and balance 50% is allocated on the basis of demand received from implementing agencies. No such prior allocation of loans is made in respect of urban infrastructure projects which are sanctioned by HUDCO on merits and availability of funds.

A statement indicating State-wise & year-wise loans sanctioned by HUDCO during the last five years is enclosed.

Statement

State-wise loans sanctioned by HUDCO during 1992-93 to 1996-97

S. No.	States	Loan sanctioned (Rs. in crores)				
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
1.	Andhra Pradesh	69.46	94.02	97.05	186.11	503.79
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	0.00	1.82	0.00	0.00	—
3.	Assam	33.83	16.22	41.27	50.02	73.74
4.	Bihar	23.13	45.96	24.51	42.31	51.09
5.	Gujarat	60.41	78.52	78.54	83.85	86.53
6.	Goa	5.97	0.45	9.00	0.72	11.35
7.	Haryana	25.36	28.57	34.27	60.68	17.45
8.	Himachal Pradesh	4.54	9.58	6.06	35.68	26.06
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	3.09	11.24	16.49	19.64	3.69
10.	Karanataka	68.31	66.14	432.18	140.14	263.54
11.	Kerala	51.90	113.62	249.70	209.04	241.42
12.	Madhya Pradesh	55.64	56.01	71.78	75.91	82.46
13.	Maharashtra	147.44	193.90	73.90	75.63	71.61
14.	Manipur	7.66	5.72	6.06	5.77	39.65
15.	Meghalaya	2.14	5.09	14.31	0.94	8.07
16.	Mizoram	2.01	6.39	0.00	5.26	5.72
17.	Nagaland	6.20	5.79	5.77	0.00	5.89
18.	Orissa	54.63	74.37	40.29	20.77	33.90